## STATE OF MICHIGAN

## COURT OF APPEALS

In the Matter of ANASTASIA BABCOCK, Minor. UNPUBLISHED FAMILY INDEPENDENCE AGENCY, October 26, 2001 Petitioner-Appellee, No. 231086 v Macomb Circuit Court KENNETH BABCOCK, Family Division LC No. 98-045869-NA Respondent-Appellant, and ANGEL BABCOCK, Respondent. In the Matter of ANASTASIA BABCOCK, Minor. FAMILY INDEPENDENCE AGENCY, Petitioner-Appellee, No. 231108 v Macomb Circuit Court ANGEL BABCOCK, **Family Division** LC No. 98-045869-NA Respondent-Appellant, and

KENNETH BABCOCK,

Respondent.

Before: Whitbeck, P.J., and Neff and Hoekstra, JJ.

## MEMORANDUM.

In these consolidated appeals, respondents appeal as of right from the trial court order terminating their parental rights to their minor child under MCL 712A.19b(3)(c)(i), (g), (j), and (l). We affirm. This case is being decided without oral argument pursuant to MCR 7.214(E).

The trial court did not err in finding that the statutory grounds for termination were established by clear and convincing evidence. MCR 5.974(I); *In re Miller*, 433 Mich 331, 337; 445 NW2d 161 (1989). Further, the evidence did not show that termination of respondents' parental rights was clearly not in the best interests of the child. MCL 712A.19b(5); *In re Trejo*, 462 Mich 341, 356-357; 612 NW2d 407 (2000). The trial court therefore did not err in terminating respondents' parental rights to the child.

Affirmed.

/s/ William C. Whitbeck /s/ Janet T. Neff /s/ Joel P. Hoekstra